

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/27/2004 Jan Tue Ravnkilde GRP-0082 5091 10/509,148 **EXAMINER** 23413 7590 12/28/2005 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP HANIG, RICHARD E 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

2873

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK.	
0.	Applicant(s)		
	RAVNKILDE ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
	2873		
er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
XPIRE 3 MONTH(COMMUNICATION DOWEVER, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE idication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
inal. formal matters, pro		e merits is	
e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 eration.	53 O.G. 213.		
rement.			
pted or b) objected in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is objected attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
ceived. ceived in Application have been received .2(a)).		Stage	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/509,148	RAVNKILDE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Richard Hanig	2873		
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
,	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>4-35</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ummary (PTO-413)			
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/04</u> .	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/509,148 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 4-35 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend on a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flanders et al (6671078). Flanders et al disclose in figs. 4A an 4B a micro light modulator that is acting as a controllable shutter, but there is no showing in the light path a translucent modulator substrate and that the substrate has the controllable shutter anchored to it (it is not clear that this is the case in figs. 8A and 8B), however, this is a design choice that one skilled in the art would make depending on the optical path needed or saving manufacturing steps of making a hole in the substrate. The use of microlenses are well known to focus the light. The thickness of the substrate is a design choice depending on how translucent one wants the substrate to be. The type of material the substrate is made of is a design choice depending on the strength and translucency need in the specific application. The wavelength of the light source is a design choice depending on the specific use the system will be used for.

Art Unit: 2873

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Hanig whose telephone number is 571-272-2329. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RNA 12/23/05

> Scott J. Sugarman Primary Examiner